

# **Operational Semantics for Lisp**

---

---

- McCarthy, Lisp 1.5 manual
- Slonneger and Kurtz, Ch 6.1
- Pagan, Ch 5.2

# Operational Semantics

---

- Define the language semantics by describing how the state changes
  - Essentially, we are defining an interpreter
- Goal: define o.s. for a **simplified** Lisp
  - Project: implement this semantics
  - **LIS<sup>T</sup>** Processing: the ancestor of all functional languages
  - “Lots of **Insipid** and **Stupid Parentheses**”?
- Later: general discussion of oper. sem.

# Atoms

---

- Atoms: numbers and literals

`<atom> ::= <numeric atom> | <literal atom>`

`<numeric atom> ::=`

`<numeral> | -<numeral> | +<numeral>`

`<numeral> ::= <digit> | <numeral><digit>`

`<literal atom> ::= <letter>`

`| <literal atom><letter>`

`| <literal atom><digit>`

`<letter> ::= a | A | b | B | ... | z | Z`

`<digit> ::= 0 | 1 | 2 | ... | 9`

# S-Expressions

---

A grammar for S expressions

$\langle S\text{-exp} \rangle ::= \text{atom}$

$\langle S\text{-exp} \rangle ::= (\langle S\text{-exp} \rangle . \langle S\text{-exp} \rangle)$

- Creation and breaking of S-expressions
  - $\text{cons}[s_1, s_2] = (s_1 . s_2)$
  - $\text{car}[(s_1 . s_2)] = s_1$ ;  $\text{cdr}[(s_1 . s_2)] = s_2$ 
    - car/cdr: undefined for atoms (i.e. error)
- $\text{caar}[x] = \text{car}[\text{car}[x]]$ ;  $\text{cadr} = \text{car}[\text{cdr}[x]]$ ;  
 $\text{cdar}[x] = \text{cdr}[\text{car}[x]]$ ; ...

# Lists

---

- List: a special kind of S-expression
- Special atom NIL: denotes the end of a list
  - and several other things
- $(s)$  denotes  $(s . \text{NIL})$
- $(s + w)$  denotes  $(s . (t . (w . \text{NIL})))$
- $(s + w z)$  denotes  $(s . (t . (w . (z . \text{NIL}))))$
- $( )$  denotes NIL

## Examples

---

$$(A \ B \ C) = (A . (B . (C . \text{NIL})))$$

$$((A \ B) \ C) = ((A . (B . \text{NIL})) . (C . \text{NIL}))$$

$$(A \ B \ (C \ D)) = (A . (B . ((C . (D . \text{NIL})) . \text{NIL})))$$

$$((A)) = ((A . \text{NIL}) . \text{NIL})$$

$$(A \ (B . C)) = (A . ((B . C) . \text{NIL}))$$

$$\text{car}[(A \ B \ C)] = A$$

$$\text{cdr}[(A \ B \ C)] = (B \ C)$$

$$\text{cons}[A; (B \ C)] = (A \ B \ C)$$

$$\text{car}[((A \ B) \ C)] = (A \ B)$$

$$\text{cdr}[(A)] = \text{NIL}$$

$$\text{car}[\text{cdr}[(A \ B \ C)]] = B$$

## More Functions

---

- Unary functions  $f : S\text{-expr} \rightarrow S\text{-expr}$
- Unary predicate functions
  - $f : S\text{-expr} \rightarrow \{ T, NIL \}$
  - $T$  (true) and  $NIL$  (false) are “special” atoms
- “**atom**” predicate function: is the  $S\text{-exp}$  an atom?
  - $\text{atom}[XYZ13] = T$
  - $\text{atom}[(A . B)] = NIL$
  - $\text{atom}[\text{car}[(A . B)]] = T$

## More Functions

---

- “**int**” predicate function: is the S-exp a numeric atom (i.e., an integer)?
  - $\text{int}[23] = \text{T}$
  - $\text{int}[XYZ] = \text{NIL}$
  - $\text{int}[(A\ B)] = \text{NIL}$
- “**null**” predicate function: is the S-exr the atom NIL?
  - $\text{null}[\text{NIL}] = \text{T}$     $\text{null}[(\ )] = \text{T}$
  - $\text{null}[(\ (\ ))] = \text{NIL}$

# Binary Functions

---

- Binary functions
  - $f : S\text{-expr} \times S\text{-expr} \rightarrow S\text{-expr}$
- Arithmetic and relational functions
  - binary functions defined only for pairs of numeric atoms (otherwise, report an error)
- Arithmetic functions
  - plus[a1,a2], minus[a1,a2], times[a1,a2], quotient[a1,a2]; remainder[a1,a2]
- Relational functions (produce T or NIL)
  - greater[a1,a2]; less[a1,a2]

# Equality Function

---

- Binary predicate function “**eq**”
- Works on a pair of atoms
  - If not given atoms: error
- $\text{eq}[a1,a2] = T$  if  $a1$  and  $a2$  are the same literal atom
- $\text{eq}[a1,a2] = T$  if  $a1$  and  $a2$  are numeric atoms with the same value
  - $\text{eq}[+4,4] = T$
- $\text{eq}[a1,a2] = \text{NIL}$  in all other cases

# Writing Lisp “Programs”

---

- Building blocks are functions
  - The functions described earlier
  - Other “built-in” functions discussed later
  - User-defined functions
  - All of these are mathematical functions defined over the domain of S-expressions
- The entire program is a math expression which uses such functions
  - **Constants & function applications**; that's it ...
- We “encode” these math functions and math expressions as S-expressions

# Evaluation of Expressions

---

- Lisp runs in an **read-eval-print** loop
  - you type an S-expression (the “program”), the interpreter evaluates it, and prints the resulting value
  - The value itself is an S-expression
  - The interpreter is really a unary function  
 $f : S\text{-expr} \rightarrow S\text{-expr}$
- Data vs. code
  - Interpreter for an imperative language: the input is code, the output is data (values)
  - In Lisp: both the code and the data are S-expressions (no clear separation)

## Examples

---

$7 \rightarrow 7 \quad T \rightarrow T \quad \text{nil} \rightarrow \text{NIL} \quad () \rightarrow \text{NIL}$

---

$(\text{plus} (\text{plus} 3 5) (\text{times} 4 4)) \rightarrow 24$

The input is the math expression

`plus[plus[3,5],times[4,4]]`, written as an S-expression

---

$(\text{plus} 5 T)$

Error, because `plus[a1,a2]` is defined only for numeric atoms

---

$(\text{eq} + \text{nil}) \rightarrow \text{NIL} \quad (\text{EQ} \text{ NIL NIL}) \rightarrow T$

$(\text{EQ} T T) \rightarrow T \quad (\text{EQ} +2 (\text{PLUS} 1 1)) \rightarrow T$

# Quoted Expressions

---

- Quoted S-expressions
  - e.g. (**QUOTE** (3 4 5)) or '(3 4 5)
- The value is the quoted expression itself
  - i.e. the expression is not evaluated further
  - evaluation of '(3 4 5) gives us (3 4 5)
- Evaluation of (3 4 5) results in an error
  - "Illegal function call": the interpreter treats this as function application, and complains
- For the interpreter, QUOTE is not really a function - no argument evaluation

## Examples

---

Applying function “atom”

(ATOM '(7 . 10)) → NIL      (ATOM 7) → T

---

Applying function “int”

(INT (PLUS 4 5)) → T                (INT (CONS 4 5)) → NIL

---

Applying function “null”

(NULL NIL) → T      (NULL ()) → T

(NULL '()) → T      (NULL '(a)) → NIL

(NULL (EQ 2 (PLUS 1 1))) → NIL

## More Examples

---

(7 . nil) → Error

'(7 . nil) → (7)

---

(plus (plus 3 5) (car (quote (7 . 8)))) → 15

---

(CONS (CAR '(7 . 10)) (CDR '(7 . 10)))

→ (7 . 10)

# Programmer-Defined Functions

---

- **(DEFUN F (X Y) Z)**
- Defines a new function F with formals X and Y and body Z
  - All formals are distinct literal atoms
    - Different from T and NIL
  - F is a literal atom: Different from names of built-in functions, QUOTE, DEFUN, COND
  - Constraints should be checked when DEFUN is processed (do not wait for a call to F)
  - One more: DEFUN occurs only at the top level: cannot be nested in other expressions
    - For the project: this is a pre-condition

# Conditional Expressions

---

- **(COND (b1 e1) (b2 e2) ... (bn en))**
- First evaluate b1. If not NIL, evaluate e1 and this is the value to the conditional
- If b1 evaluates to NIL, evaluate b2, etc.
- If all b evaluate to NIL: error

## Examples

---

```
> (DIFF 5 6)    Error  
> (DEFUN DIFF (X Y)  
      (COND ((EQ X Y) NIL) (T T))))
```

Another example: member of a list of atoms

```
> (DEFUN MEM (X LIST)  
      (COND ((NULL LIST) NIL)  
            (T (COND  
                  ((EQ X (CAR LIST)) T)  
                  (T (MEM X (CDR LIST))))))))  
> (MEM 3 '(2 3 4)) evaluates to T
```

## List Union ( $S_1, S_2$ have no duplicates)

---

```
(DEFUN UNI (S1 S2)
  (COND ( (NULL S1) S2)
        ( (NULL S2) S1)
        ( T (COND
              ( (MEM (CAR S1) S2)
                (UNI (CDR S1) S2) )
              ( T (CONS
                    (CAR S1) (UNI (CDR S1) S2) )))))
        )))
```

# Simplified Math Notation

---

$\text{mem}[x, \text{list}] =$

[  $\text{null}[\text{list}] \rightarrow \text{NIL}$  |

$\text{eq}[x, \text{car}[\text{list}]] \rightarrow T$  |

$T \rightarrow \text{mem}[x, \text{cdr}[\text{list}]]$  ]

*Recursively-defined  
math function*

$\text{uni}[s1, s2] =$

[  $\text{null}[s1] \rightarrow s2$  |

$\text{null}[s2] \rightarrow s1$  |

$T \rightarrow [ \text{mem}[\text{car}[s1], s2] \rightarrow \text{uni}[\text{cdr}[s1], s2]$  |

$T \rightarrow \text{cons}[\text{car}[s1], \text{uni}[\text{cdr}[s1], s2]]$  ] ]

## Another Example

---

- Sorted list  $X$  of integers w/  
duplicates
- $(\text{UNIQUE } X)$  - without the  
duplicates

$\text{unique}[x] = [ \ ? \ ]$

How should we write this math function as  
a Lisp program?

# Lisp Interpreter Written in Lisp

---

- Defined as a Lisp function `myinterpreter`
- Suppose we already had an interpreter `I`
  - Conceptually, using `I` to evaluate any S-expression `E` is the same as using `I` to evaluate the S-expression (`myinterpreter (quote E)`)
- Overall approach: consider `(F e1 e2 ...)`
  - Recursively evaluate `e1, e2, ...`
  - Bind the resulting values `v1, v2, ...` to the formal parameters `p1, p2, ...` of `F`
    - Add pairs `(p1 . v1) (p2 . v2) ...` to an association list (a-list)
  - Evaluate the body of `F` using the a-list

# Possible Representation of Functions

---

- (DEFUN F param\_list body)
- Interpreter maintains an internal list of function definitions (d-list)
- The result of evaluating a DEFUN expression is the addition of a pair **(F . ( param\_list . body ) )** to the d-list
- The only expression with a side effect
  - The d-list is the only “global” binding

## Top-level Control

---

`myinterpreter [exp,d] = eval[exp, NIL, d]`

- Invoked in a read-eval-print loop
- Every evaluation starts with no parameter bindings
- The function definition list `d` is the only “surviving” data structure between different invocations of function `myinterpreter`
  - `d` accumulates all function definitions
- Cleaner alternative: Slonneger Ch. 6

## Key Function: eval

---

- **eval**[exp,a,d]: evaluates an expression **exp** based on the current a-list **a** and the current list of function definitions **d**
- Some helper functions
  - **z**: a list of (x . y) pairs - could be **a** or **d**
  - **bound**[x,z]: does z contain a pair (x . y)?
  - **getval**[x,z]: finds the first (x . y) in z and returns y; precondition: bound[x,z] is T

# eval

---

eval[ exp, a, d ] =

[ atom[exp] →

*exp is an atom*

[ eq[exp, T] → T |

eq[exp, NIL] → NIL |

int[exp] → exp |

bound[exp, a] → getval[exp, a] |

T → Error! (unbound variable) ]

| T → ... next slide

*exp is a list*

## eval (cont)

---

**eval**[ exp, a, d] =

[ atom[exp] → ...

*exp is an atom*

| T →

*exp is a list*

[ eq[car[exp], QUOTE] → cadr[exp] |

eq[car[exp], COND] → evcon[cdr[exp], a, d] |

eq[car[exp], DEFUN] → add stuff to d-list |

T → apply[ car[exp],

evlist[cdr[exp], a, d],

a, d ] ] ]

## Helper Functions

---

**evcon**[ $x, a, d$ ] =  $x$  is  $((b_1 e_1) (b_2 e_2) \dots)$

[  $\text{null}[x] \rightarrow \text{Error!}$  |

**eval**[ $\text{caar}[x], a, d$ ]  $\rightarrow$  **eval**[ $\text{cadar}[x], a, d$ ] |

$T \rightarrow \text{evcon}[\text{cdr}[x], a, d]$

**evlist**[ $x, a, d$ ] =

[  $\text{null}[x] \rightarrow \text{NIL}$  |

$T \rightarrow \text{cons}[\text{eval}[\text{car}[x], a, d],$   
**evlist**[ $\text{cdr}[x], a, d$ ] ] ]

# Error Checking

---

- Not shown, but must be there
- If `car[exp]` is QUOTE, `cdr[exp]` should be a list with a single element
- If `car[exp]` is DEFUN, `cdr[exp]` should be a list with exactly three elements
  - Literal atom (function name)
  - List of distinct literal atoms (params)
  - Arbitrary expression (body)
- If `car[exp]` is DEFUN, `exp` cannot be a nested expression (but no need to check this for the project)

# Key Function: apply

---

- **apply**[*f,x,a,d*]: applies a function **f** on a list of actual parameters **x**
- Helper function **addpairs**
  - *z*: a list of (*x . y*) pairs - the current association list
  - **addpairs**[*xlist,ylist,z*]: a new list, w/ pairs (*x<sub>i</sub> . y<sub>i</sub>*) followed by the contents of *z*
  - **addpairs**[ '(*p q*), '(1 2), '( (r . 4) ) ] = ((*p . 1*) (*q . 2*) (r . 4))
  - Precondition: size of *xlist* = size of *ylist*

# Function Application

---

**apply**[ f, x, a, d ] = ; x is list of actual params

[ atom[f] →

[ eq[f, CAR] → caar[x] |

eq[f, CDR] → cdar[x] |

eq[f, CONS] → cons[car[x], cadr[x]] |

eq[f, ATOM] → atom[car[x]] |

eq[f, EQ] → eq[car[x], cadr[x]] |

... INT, NULL, arithmetic ... |

T → ... next slide

*What about  
error checking?*

*user-defined fun*

## Function Application (cont)

`apply[ f, x, a, d ] =` ; x is list of actual params

[ atom[f] →

[ ... |

(formal\_params . body)

T → eval [ cdr[ getval[f,d] ],

addpairs[ car[ getval[f,d] ], x, a],

d ]

bind the formals

] |

T → Error! ]

*More error checking?*

# Dynamic Scoping

---

(defun f (x) (plus x y))

(defun g (y) (f 10))

(defun h (y) (f 20))

(g 5) → 15

(h 5) → 25

(g (h 5)) → 35

# Observations

---

- Function bodies and function applications are similar to “normal” expressions
  - No difference between “values” and “code”
- The interpreter description defines an operational semantics for the language
  - Tells us how to “operate” on a given program
  - The interpreter is really a unary math function  $f : S\text{-expr} \rightarrow S\text{-expr}$ , and this math function defines the semantics